Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 1185-2 Filed 11/23/04 Page 1 of 2

Michael K. Jeanes, Clerk of Court

Electronically Filed

Michelle Paigen

LN Filing ID 4652786

Nov 22 2004 11:39AM MST

1 2 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 3 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 4 5 ROBERT J. SWANSTON, individually and CV2002-004988 on behalf of himself and all others similarly 6 situated. 7 ORDER DESIGNATING Plaintiff, CASE AS COORDINATED STATE COURT CASE 8 VS. 9 TAP PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS, (Assigned to the Honorable Rebecca A. Albrecht) 10 INC.; et al., 11 Defendants. Certain Defendants having filing a Motion to Designate the Case as a 12 Coordinated State Court Case, the Court having read all responsive briefing, and for 13 good cause appearing, 14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED designating this case as a Coordinated State 15 Court Case pursuant to Case Management Order No. 9 ("CMO 9) in *In re* 16 Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation, MDL 1456 (D. Mass.) 17 (hereafter, "MDL 1456"). Accordingly, the Court orders the following: 18 (a) The parties will make good faith efforts to coordinate discovery 19 in this case with the discovery that is and will be occurring in 20 MDL 1456. 21 (b) Counsel in this case shall be entitled to participate fully in any or 22 all discovery activities in MDL 1456 in the same manner as 23 counsel in any of the included actions in MDL 1456, subject to 24 the orders and rules governing the MDL proceedings (including 25 26

- 1 - 509939v1

- appearing before the MDL Court to address discovery-related matters in that proceeding).
- (c) Any discovery generated in the MDL 1456 proceeding will be fully available for use in this case to the extent permitted by this Court under the laws and rules of this jurisdiction and any applicable confidentiality or protective orders entered in this case, and any discovery generated in this case will be fully available for use in the MDL 1456 proceeding, subject to any applicable confidentiality or protective orders entered in the MDL proceeding.
- (d) To avoid duplicative depositions of any person or party,
 Plaintiffs' Counsel will be responsible for keeping Plaintiffs'
 Liaison Counsel in MDL 1456 fully apprised of the scheduling of
 any depositions in this case, as the Court in MDL 1456 has asked
 Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel in MDL 1456 to reciprocate. If for
 any reason a defendant knows or has reason to believe that
 Plaintiffs' Counsel are unaware of a deposition going forward in
 any case that is not a Coordinated State Court Case, it shall
 inform Plaintiffs' Counsel in this action of that deposition with
 enough notice to allow them or other counsel to attend.

DATED this 22 day of November, 2004.

/s/ Rebecca A. Albrecht
THE HONORABLE REBECCA A. ALBRECHT
Judge, Superior Court of Arizona, Maricopa County